



183TACD2020

BETWEEN/

REDACTED

Appellant

AND

REVENUE COMMISSIONERS

Respondent

DETERMINATION

Introduction

1. This appeal involves a claim for exemption pursuant to s.195 of the Taxes Consolidation Act as amended ('TCA 1997') in accordance with the guidelines drawn up under that section by the Arts Council and the Minister for Culture, Heritage and the Gaeltacht (hereafter referred to as the guidelines), commonly known as 'Artists Exemption'.
2. The Appellant's authored work is a non-fiction book titled **REDACTED** (hereafter referred to as "the work"). The Appellant submitted a claim for artists' exemption dated 25 October 2018 for a determination by the Revenue Commissioners under of s.195 TCA 1997.
3. The Appellant's claim for exemption was refused by the Respondent on 22 November 2018 stating that the work did not meet the required criteria set out in the legislation and the guidelines.
4. The Appellant appealed to the Tax Appeals Commission (TAC) on 24 May 2019.
5. This case is adjudicated without a hearing in accordance with the provisions of s.949U Taxes Consolidation Act (TCA) 1997 by agreement with the parties.

Background

6. The Respondent accepts that the work is a book and that it is original and that it comes within the categories of work listed in s.195 TCA 1997. However, after examining the work, the Respondent did not find it possible to make a determination for the purposes of exemption from income tax provided for in s.195 TCA 1997.

Legislation and Guidelines

7. The relevant legislation is contained at s.195 of the Taxes Consolidation Act 1997, a copy of which is attached at Appendix I. In considering this appeal, I am obliged, in compliance with s.195 (13) (b) TCA 1997 to have regard to the guidelines for the time being in force under s.195 (12). The work in question must satisfy the mandatory requirements of those guidelines, a copy of which is attached at Appendix II.

Submissions

Appellant

8. The Appellant submitted that he believed the work is a qualifying original and creative work which is generally recognised as having cultural merit, as per paragraph 7 of the guidelines.
9. The Appellant submitted that the work satisfies both 7(2) (a) and 7(2) (b). Specifically, the work is a hybrid work of non-fiction.
10. The Appellant submitted that the work is comprised of three very different parts.
 - a) The first is a practical guide to REDACTED, but told through the prism of his own autobiographical experience as a professional writer and publisher of his own work, which would seem to satisfy 7(2)(b).
 - b) The second part of the book is a history of the REDACTED. This would seem to satisfy multiple categories of 7(2) (a).
 - c) And the third part of the book is a series of contributions from 30 successful REDACTED from around the world, which would seem to further satisfy 7(2) (b).
11. The Appellant submitted that with regard to the ancillary requirements for 7(2) (a) and 7(2) (b), the work is widely regarded a pioneering work on digital REDACTED and the digital revolution.



12. The Appellant submitted that the work has made a significant contribution to the sector internationally and is widely considered to be the authoritative text on the subject of digital REDACTED in particular.
13. The Appellant submitted that the work has been a Top 100 bestseller on REDACTED in the USA, Canada, and the United Kingdom, and its author has been featured in a string of international publications as a result, including the Irish Times, the Sunday Times, the Guardian, the Irish Examiner, the Telegraph, the Huffington Post, Techdirt, Business Insider, Forbes, The Verge, Re/Code, Bustle Inc, Mashable, Pajiba, several Wanted posters in the Tri-State area, TechCrunch, Newsweek Polska, il Giornale, and The Star Malaysia.
14. The Appellant submitted that he is regularly invited to speak at major international industry events on the topics of REDACTED and the digital revolution as a result of this book.
15. The Appellant submitted that the work is now on its third edition, selling approximately REDACTED copies internationally.

Respondent

16. The Respondent submitted that it accepted that the work is a book that comes within the categories of work listed in s.195 (1) TCA 1997 as amended.
17. The Respondent submitted that s.195(12) TCA 1997 states that guidelines may include specifications of the types or kinds of works that are not original or creative or that have not, or are not generally recognised as having, cultural or artistic merit, including a specification of works that are published, produced or sold for a specified purpose.
18. The Respondent also submitted that the section further states that the guidelines may specify criteria by reference to which the questions whether works are original and creative and whether they have or are generally recognised as having cultural or artistic merit are to be recognised.
19. The Respondent submitted that s.195 (13) states that where an application for a determination is made to Revenue, that Revenue shall not make a determination in respect of a work unless it complies with the guidelines. This provision is also extended to the Appeal Commissioners and the High Court in the case of appeals.



20. The Respondent submitted that Paragraph 7 of the guidelines sets out specific criteria to be applied to non-fiction books and sets out specific categories, which the work must come within, in order to qualify for a determination.
21. The Respondent submitted that the Appellant's application stated that the work comes with paragraphs 7(2) (a) (iii) and 7(2) (b) (ii) of the guidelines.
22. The Respondent submitted that Paragraph 7(2)(a)(iii) of the guidelines refers to a work which is *an arts subject work, being a work the subject is, or is any combination, of visual arts, theatre, literature, music, dance, opera, film, circus or architecture*. The Respondent commented that, the Appellant specifically stated that the work is an arts subject work regarding literature.
23. The Respondent submitted that paragraph 7(2) (b) (ii) of the guidelines refers to a work which is an autobiography.
24. The Respondent submitted that it was not satisfied that the subject matter of the work was literature.
25. The Respondent submitted that it acknowledges that there is a small amount of autobiographical material in the book but it considered this insufficient to regard the work as an autobiography.
26. The Respondent submitted that it was unable to make a determination in respect of the work, for the purposes of the exemption from income tax provided by s.195 of the Taxes Consolidation Act 1997 ('TCA 97').

Analysis

27. The Appellant's work is a book and it is 'original and creative' within the meaning of s.195 TCA 1997 as amended and the guidelines issued thereunder. This is agreed by both parties to this appeal.
28. The Respondent accepts that the work comes within s.195 (1) TCA 1997 as amended. However, the Respondent has considered that the work does not come within paragraph 7 (2) of the guidelines.
29. It is acknowledged that the work falls within paragraph 4 of the guidelines insofar as all parties agree that the book is original and creative. In order to secure exemption, the



work must also have either cultural merit or artistic merit, or both as set out in paragraphs 5 and 6 of the guidelines.

30. However, to succeed in a claim for artists' exemption, the work the subject of this appeal must first fall within paragraphs 7(1) and then satisfy the criteria in paragraphs 7(2) as set out for works of non-fiction books of the guidelines.

31. The test to be applied in this case as argued by the Appellant is specifically, whether the Appellants' work constitutes an entitlement to Artists Exemption in accordance with s.195 of the TCA 1997 under one or more of the criteria set out in paragraphs 7 (2) (a), or (b) of the guidelines.

32. Paragraph 7 (2) (a) of the guidelines states:

"(2) The criteria are:

(a) that the work, in the opinion of the Revenue Commissioners, following consultation with the Arts Council, is a work in one or more of the following categories:

- (i) arts criticism,*
- (ii) arts history,*
- (iii) arts subject work, being a work the subject matter of which is, or is any combination of, visual arts, theatre, literature, music, dance, opera, film, circus or architecture,*
- (iv) artists' diaries,*
- (v) belles-lettres essays,*
- (vi) literary translation,*
- (vii) literary criticism,*
- (viii) literary history,*
- (ix) literary diaries,*

that incorporates the author's unique insight into the subject matter and is regarded as a pioneering work and also makes a significant contribution to the subject matter by casting new light on it or by changing the generally accepted understanding of it,

33. I do not consider that the work can be categorised as qualifying under any of the categories of work set out in any of the sub-paragraphs (i) to (ix) of Paragraph 7 (2) (a) of the guidelines. Accordingly I have considered if the work could qualify under paragraph 7(2) (b) of the guidelines.



34. Paragraph 7 (2) (b) of the guidelines states

(b) that the work, in the opinion of the Revenue Commissioners, is a work in one of the following categories:

(i)a biography,

(ii)an autobiography,

that incorporates the author's unique insight into the subject matter and is regarded as a pioneering work and also makes a significant contribution to the subject matter by casting new light on the person or by changing the generally accepted understanding of the person,

35. The test therefore to be applied is specifically, whether the Appellants' work constitutes an autobiography within the meaning of the said guidelines.

36. The Tax Appeals Commission already considered the meaning of 'autobiography' in Determination 20TACD2017 citing the Supreme Court dicta in *Inspector of Taxes v Kiernan [1981] 1 I.R.117*, applied by Donnelly J. in *Coleman v Revenue Commissioners [2014] IEHC 662*. The Supreme Court dicta provides as follows;

'Where statutory provisions are addressed to the public generally, a word should be given the meaning which an ordinary member of the public would intend it to have when using it ordinarily'

And

'[W]hen the word which requires to be given its natural and ordinary meaning is a simple word which has a widespread and unambiguous currency, the judge construing it should draw primarily on his own experience of its use. Dictionaries or other literary sources should be looked at only when alternative meaning, regional usages or other obliquities are shown to cast doubt on the singularity of its ordinary meaning, or when there are grounds for suggesting that the meaning of the word has changed since the statute in question was passed.'

37. Section 195 TCA 1997 as amended and the guidelines made thereunder are addressed to the public generally. The word "autobiography" has widespread and unambiguous currency. It is normally accepted that an autobiography is an account of a person's life written by that person. In determining this appeal, I have given the word autobiography its ordinary and natural meaning



38. In determining the matter of exemption or not from income tax in this case I have considered the issues raised in relation to the correct interpretation of Revenue statutes in the decision of Murphy J in *Ó Coindealbháin –v- Gannon* [1986] I.R. 154, where he stated:-

“The principles of legal interpretation to be applied to the construction of revenue statutes are well established. It is a general principle that to be liable to tax the citizen must come clearly within the words of the charge to tax. On the other hand, once within the scope and terms of the charge to tax, he cannot escape unless clearly within the terms of an exemption. There is no rule of law against the citizen making genuine and lawful arrangements of his affairs by which the incidence of tax on his property is lessened. In the construction of a Taxing Act the court has primary regard to the statutory words themselves and to their proper judicial construction. Particular words must be construed in their context. Taxing Acts are to be construed strictly, in the sense that one has to look merely at what was clearly said, there being no room for any intendment, but a fair and reasonable construction must be given to the language without leaning to one side or the other. Whether applying the terms of the charge or the terms of the exemption, no considerations of equity or hardship affect the construction of the Act...”

39. In considering whether the work the subject of this appeal satisfies the requirements for exemption, I must have regard to the decision of Kennedy CJ in *Commissioners of Inland Revenue –v Doorley* [1933] 1 I.R. 750, where he stated: -

“The Court is not, by greater indulgence in delimiting the area of exemptions, to enlarge their operation beyond what the statute, clearly and without doubt and in express terms, excepts for some good reason from the burden of a tax thereby imposed generally on that description of subject-matter. As the imposition of, so the exemption from, the tax must be brought within the letter of the taxing Act as interpreted by the established canons of construction so far as applicable.”

40. I have also taken into consideration a more recent event, in a case stated by the Appeal Commissioners to the High Court in relation to the artists’ exemption, *Donnelly J in Coleman –v- Revenue Commissioners* [2014] IEHC 662 held that: -

“On the basis of the decision in Doorley, the Appeal Commissioner was obliged to give effect to the clear and express terms of the legislation in considering the artist’s exemption from income tax. The liability to income tax having been established, that exemption must be brought within the letter of the Act of 1997 and the Guidelines made



thereunder as interpreted by the established canons of construction. There was no basis in law for adopting any other approach to the interpretation of the Act and the Statutes.”

41. In this case, the work includes some amount of autobiographical material. The tests in paragraph 7 (2) (b) of the guidelines also require: -
- a. that the work incorporates the author’s unique insight into the subject matter and
 - b. is regarded as a pioneering work and
 - c. also makes a significant contribution to the subject matter by:
 - i. casting new light on the person or
 - ii. by changing the generally accepted understanding of the person.
42. I have considered that the tests determined in a, b and c in the preceding paragraph are satisfied insofar as the work incorporates the author’s own and unique insight into the subject matter of digital REDACTED and that the work can be said to be pioneering and casts new light on the subject, in describing his own experiences as a professional writer and REDACTED.
43. The final issue to be considered is whether the work makes a significant contribution to the subject matter by *casting new light on the person or by changing the generally accepted understanding of the person.*
44. The Respondent accepted that there is an amount of autobiographical material in the Appellant’s work but considered this material to be insufficient to regard the work as an autobiography.
45. The Tax Appeals Commission in Determination 20TACD2017 regarded the measurement in a book, of autobiographical content, as a factor which may be considered in the determination of whether a work constitutes an autobiography but suggested that a determination on this basis alone might be unduly reductive. While the actual number of pages of autobiographical material in a book is not conclusive evidence of the character of the work, it is nonetheless an important consideration.
46. I have carefully read and considered the book and the autobiographical content therein and I have reconsidered whether the reader could determine that the work makes a significant contribution to the subject matter by:



- i. casting new light on the person or
- ii. by changing the generally accepted understanding of the person.

47. The first half of the book is actually an analysis of the REDACTED. The second half of the work is a comprehensive how-to for REDACTED. The success stories of authors in the final part of the book do not amount to biographies of the authors concerned as argued by the Appellant. The stories and the authors' successes merely demonstrate that the authors concerned were very committed to the writing process.

48. The work falls significantly short of the characteristics of a biography or of an autobiography. In my view the character and essence of this work is that of a REDACTED. The work contains practical and actionable advice, on REDACTED work and on REDACTED.

49. I have accordingly also considered that REDACTED does not satisfy the requisite legal tests contained in the guidelines, in paragraph 7(2) (b), because it does not constitute a biography or an autobiography that makes a significant contribution to the subject matter by casting new light on the person or by changing the generally accepted understanding of the person.

Determination

50. The artist exemption legislation and guidelines set out a series of technical legal tests which must be satisfied by an Appellant in order to avail of the exemption. In this appeal, I determine that REDACTED does not satisfy the requisite legal tests, in particular paragraph 7(2) (a) or (b) of the Guidelines and I determine that the Appellant is not entitled to avail of the exemption pursuant to s.195 TCA 1997.

51. This appeal is determined in accordance with s.949AL TCA 1997.

CHARLIE PHELAN
APPEAL COMMISSIONER
22 OCTOBER 2020



Appendix I

The provisions of Section 195 of TCA 1997, as they were as of the date of the application for exemption, provided as follows: -

“(1) In this section...

“work” means an original and creative work which is within one of the following categories:

- (a) a book or other writing;*
- (b) a play;*
- (c) a musical composition;*
- (d) a painting or other like picture;*
- (e) a sculpture.*

(2)(a) This section shall apply to an individual –

(i) who is —

(I) resident in one or more Member States, or in another EEA state, and not resident elsewhere, or

(II) ordinarily resident and domiciled in one or more Member States, or in another EEA state, and not resident elsewhere, and

(ii) (I) who is determined by the Revenue Commissioners, after consideration of any evidence in relation to the matter which the individual submits to them and after such consultation (if any) as may seem to them to be necessary with such person or body of persons as in their opinion may be of assistance to them, to have written, composed or executed, as the case may be, either solely or jointly with another individual, a work or works generally recognised as having cultural or artistic merit, or

(II) who has written, composed or executed, as the case may be, either solely or jointly with another individual, a particular work which the Revenue Commissioners, after consideration of the work and of any evidence in relation to the matter which the individual submits to them and after such consultation (if any) as may seem to them to be necessary with such person or body of persons as in their opinion may be of assistance to them, determine to be a work having cultural or artistic merit.



(b) The Revenue Commissioners shall not make a determination under this subsection unless

–

(i) the individual concerned duly makes a claim to the Revenue Commissioners for the determination, being (where the determination is sought under paragraph (a)(ii)(II)) a claim made after the publication, production or sale, as the case may be, of the work in relation to which the determination is sought, and

(ii) the individual complies with any request to him or her under subsection (4).

(3)(a) An individual to whom this section applies and who duly makes a claim to the Revenue Commissioners in that behalf shall, subject to paragraphs (aa) and (b), be entitled to have the profits or gains arising to him or her from the publication, production or sale, as the case may be, of a work or works in relation to which the Revenue Commissioners have made a determination under clause (I) or (II) of subsection (2)(a)(ii), or of a work of the individual in the same category as that work, and which apart from this section would be included in an assessment made on him or her under Case II of Schedule D, disregarded for the purposes of the Income Tax Acts.

(aa) The amount of the profits or gains for a year of assessment which an individual shall be entitled to have disregarded for the purposes of the Income Tax Acts by virtue of paragraph (a) shall not exceed €40,000 for the year of assessment 2011 and each subsequent year of assessment.

(b) The exemption authorised by this section shall not apply for any year of assessment before the year of assessment in which the individual concerned makes a claim under clause (I) or (II) of subsection (2)(a)(ii) in respect of which the Revenue Commissioners make a determination referred to in clause (I) or (II) of subsection (2)(a)(ii), as the case may be.

(c) The relief provided by this section may be given by repayment or otherwise.

(4)(a) Where an individual makes a claim to which subsection (2)(a)(ii)(I) relates, the Revenue Commissioners may serve on the individual a notice or notices in writing requesting the individual to furnish to them within such period as may be specified in the notice or notices such information, books, documents or other evidence as may appear to them to be necessary for the purposes of a determination under subsection (2)(a)(ii)(I).

(b) Where an individual makes a claim to which subsection (2)(a)(ii)(II) relates, the individual shall –



- (i) in the case of a book or other writing or a play or musical composition, if the Revenue Commissioners so request, furnish to them 3 copies, and*
- (ii) in the case of a painting or other like picture or a sculpture, if the Revenue Commissioners so request, provide, or arrange for the provision of, such facilities as the Revenue Commissioners may consider necessary for the purposes of a determination under subsection (2)(a)(ii)(II) (including any requisite permissions or consents of the person who owns or possesses the painting, picture or sculpture).*
- (5) The Revenue Commissioners may serve on an individual who makes a claim under subsection (3) a notice or notices in writing requiring the individual to make available within such time as may be specified in the notice all such books, accounts and documents in the individual's possession or power as may be requested, being books, accounts and documents relating to the publication, production or sale, as the case may be, of the work in respect of the profits or gains of which exemption is claimed.*
- (6)(a) In this subsection, "relevant period" means, as respects a claim in relation to a work or works or a particular work, the period of 6 months commencing on the date on which a claim is first made in respect of that work or those works or the particular work, as the case may be.*
- (b) Where –*
- (i) an individual –*
- (I) has made due claim (in this subsection referred to as a "claim") to the Revenue Commissioners for a determination under clause (I) or (II) of subsection (2)(a)(ii) in relation to a work or works or a particular work, as the case may be, that the individual has written, composed or executed, as the case may be, solely or jointly with another individual, and*
- (II) as respects the claim, has complied with any request made to the individual under subsection (4) or (5) in the relevant period,*
- and*
- (ii) the Revenue Commissioners fail to make a determination under clause (I) or (II) of subsection (2)(a)(ii) in relation to the claim in the relevant period, the individual may [appeal to the Appeal Commissioners, in accordance with section 949I, within the period of 30 days after the end of the relevant period] on the grounds that –*
- (a) the work or works is or are generally recognised as having cultural or artistic merit,*



or

(b) the particular work has cultural or artistic merit, as the case may be.

(7) The Appeal Commissioners shall hear and determine an appeal made to them under subsection (6) as if it were an appeal against an assessment to income tax and, subject to subsection (8), the provisions of the Income Tax Acts relating to such appeals and to the rehearing of such an appeal and to the statement of a case for the opinion of the High Court on a point of law shall apply accordingly with any necessary modifications.

(8)(a) On the hearing of an appeal made under subsection (6), the Appeal Commissioners may

(i) after consideration of –

(i) any evidence in relation to the matter submitted to them by or on behalf of the individual concerned and by or on behalf of the Revenue Commissioners, and

(ii) in relation to a work or works or a particular work, the work or works or the particular work, and

(ii) after such consultation (if any) as may seem to them to be necessary with such person or body of persons as in their opinion may be of assistance to them,

determine that the individual concerned has written, composed or executed, as the case may be, either solely or jointly with another individual –

(a) a work or works generally recognised as having cultural or artistic merit, or

(b) a particular work which has cultural or artistic merit,

and, where the Appeal Commissioners so determine, the individual shall be entitled to relief under subsection (3)(a) as if the determination had been made by the Revenue Commissioners under clause (I) or (II) of subsection (2)(a)(ii), as the case may be.

(9) For the purposes of the hearing or rehearing of an appeal made under subsection (6), the Revenue Commissioners may nominate any of their officers to act on their behalf.

(10) For the purposes of determining the amount of the profits or gains to be disregarded under this section for the purposes of the Income Tax Acts, the Revenue Commissioners may make such apportionment of receipts and expenses as may be necessary.

(11) Notwithstanding any exemption provided by this section, the provisions of the Income Tax Acts regarding the making by the individual of a return of his or her total income shall apply as if the exemption had not been authorised.



(12)(a) An Comhairle Ealaíon and the Minister for Arts, Heritage, Gaeltacht and the Islands shall, with the consent of the Minister for Finance, draw up guidelines for determining for the purposes of this section whether a work within a category specified in subsection (1) is an original and creative work and whether it has, or is generally recognised as having, cultural or artistic merit.

(b) Without prejudice to the generality of paragraph (a), a guideline under that paragraph may –

(i) consist of a specification of types or kinds of works that are not original and creative or that have not, or are not generally recognised as having, cultural or artistic merit, including a specification of works that are published, produced or sold for a specified purpose, and

(ii) specify criteria by reference to which the questions whether works are original or creative and whether they have, or are generally recognised as having, cultural or artistic merit are to be determined.

(13)(a) Where a claim for a determination under subsection (2) is made to the Revenue Commissioners, the Revenue Commissioners shall not determine that the work concerned is original and creative or has, or is generally recognised as having, cultural or artistic merit unless it complies with the guidelines under subsection (12) for the time being in force.

(b) Paragraph (a) shall, with any necessary modifications, apply to –

(i) a determination by the Appeal Commissioners under subsection (8) on an appeal to them under subsection (6) in relation to a claim mentioned in paragraph (a), and

(ii) a rehearing by a judge of the Circuit Court of an appeal mentioned in subparagraph (i) and, to the extent necessary, to the determination by the High Court of any question of law arising on such an appeal or rehearing and specified in the statement of a case for the opinion of the High Court, by the Appeal Commissioners or, as the case may be, a judge of the Circuit Court.

(14) Where a determination has been or is made under clause (I) or (II) of subsection (2)(a)(ii) in relation to a work or works of a person, subsection (3)(a) shall not apply to any other work of that person that is in the same category as such work or works and is or was first published, produced or sold on or after the 3rd day of May, 1994, unless that other work is one that complies with the guidelines under subsection (12) for the time being in force and would qualify to be determined by the Revenue Commissioners as an original or



creative work and as having, or being generally recognised as having, cultural or artistic merit.

(15) On application to the Revenue Commissioners in that behalf by any person, the Revenue Commissioners shall supply the person free of charge with a copy of any guidelines under subsection (12) for the time being in force.

(16)(a) The Revenue Commissioners may publish, or cause to be published, the name of an individual who is the subject of a determination under subsection (2).

(b) Publication under paragraph (a) may, as appropriate, include the title or category of the work of an individual.”



Appendix II

Guideline drawn up under Section 195(2) of the Taxes Consolidation Act 1997 for the Artists Exemption Scheme by An Comhairle Ealaíon and the Minister for Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht.

Introduction

These Guidelines have been drawn up under the provisions of Section 195 of the Taxes Consolidation Act 1997 for the purposes of determining whether a work within a category specified in subsection (1) is an original and creative work and whether it has, or is generally recognised as having, cultural or artistic merit.

General

1. *Section 195(1) provides that a “work” for the purposes of the Section must be both an original and creative work in one of the following categories, namely:*
 - (a) a book or other writing,*
 - (b) a play,*
 - (c) a musical composition,*
 - (d) a painting or other like picture,*
 - (e) a sculpture.*
2. *To secure exemption under Section 195, a work must be determined by the Revenue Commissioners to be a work which is both original and creative and a work which has, or is generally recognised as having, either cultural or artistic merit.*
3. *In making a determination under Section 195, the Revenue Commissioners may, as provided for in that Section, consult with such person or body of persons as may, in their opinion, be of assistance to them.*

Original and Creative

4. *A work shall be regarded as original and creative only if it is a unique work of creative quality brought into existence by the exercise of its creator’s imagination.*

Cultural Merit



5. *A work shall be regarded as having cultural merit only if by reason of its quality of form and/or content it enhances to a significant degree one or more aspects of national or international culture.*

Artistic Merit

6. *A work shall be regarded as having artistic merit only if its quality of form and/or content enhances to a significant degree the canon of work in the relevant category.*

Criteria for Non-fiction Work

7. *(1) This paragraph specifies criteria, in accordance with subsection (12)(b)(ii) of Section 195, by reference to which the questions whether a work, being a nonfiction book or other non-fiction writing, is original and creative and whether it has, or is generally recognised as having, cultural or artistic merit are to be determined.*

(2) The criteria are:

(a) that the work, in the opinion of the Revenue Commissioners, following consultation with the Arts Council, is a work in one or more of the following categories:

(i) arts criticism,

(ii) arts history,

(iii) arts subject work, being a work the subject matter of which is, or is any combination of, visual arts, theatre, literature, music, dance, opera, film, circus or architecture,

(iv) artists' diaries,

(v) belles-lettres essays,

(vi) literary translation,

(vii) literary criticism,

(viii) literary history,

(ix) literary diaries,

that incorporates the author's unique insight into the subject matter and is regarded as a pioneering work and also makes a significant contribution to the subject matter by casting new light on it or by changing the generally accepted understanding of it,

or



(b) that the work, in the opinion of the Revenue Commissioners, is a work in one of the following categories:

(i) a biography,

(ii) an autobiography,

that incorporates the author's unique insight into the subject matter and is regarded as a pioneering work and also makes a significant contribution to the subject matter by casting new light on the person or by changing the generally accepted understanding of the person, or

(c) that the work, in the opinion of the Revenue Commissioners following consultation with the Heritage Council,

(i) is a work related to a function or functions of the Heritage Council as described in the Heritage Act 1995, and

(ii) incorporates the author's unique insight into the subject matter and is regarded as a pioneering work that makes a significant contribution to the subject matter by casting new light on it or by changing the generally accepted understanding of it,

or

(d) that the work, in the opinion of the Revenue Commissioners, relates to archives which are more than 30 years old relating to Ireland or Irish people, is based largely on research from such archives, incorporates the author's unique insight into the subject matter, and is regarded as a pioneering work that makes a significant contribution to the subject matter by casting new light on it or by changing the generally accepted understanding of it, or

(e) any combination of (a), (b), (c) or (d) above.

Types of Works Excluded from the Artists Exemption Scheme.

8. *Notwithstanding anything else in these Guidelines, a work-*

(a) shall not be an original and creative work, and

(b) shall not have, or shall not be generally recognised as having, cultural or artistic merit if, in the opinion of the Revenue Commissioners following, where appropriate, consultation with the Arts Council, it is a work of any of the types or a combination of the types, specified in subparagraphs (i) to (vi) below –



- (i) a book or other writing published primarily for, or which is or will be used primarily by-*
- (I) students pursuing a course of study, or*
 - (II) persons engaged in any trade, business, profession, vocation or branch of learning as an aid to trade or business-related practice, or to professional, vocational or other practise in connection with a trade, business, profession, vocation or branch of learning,*
- (ii) any work of journalism, published in a newspaper, journal, magazine, or other similar medium or published on the internet or on any other similar medium,*
- (iii) any writing, visual or musical work, or other like work, created for advertising or publicity purposes,*
- (iv) any arrangement, adaptation or version of musical composition, or other like work, which is not of such musical significance as to amount to an original composition,*
- (v) types or kinds of photographic, drawing, painting or other like works which are primarily of record, or which primarily serve a utilitarian function, or which are created primarily for advertising, publicity, information, decorative or other similar purposes,*
- (vi) types or kinds of works of sculpture which primarily serve a utilitarian function."*

