



Between:

██████████

██

Appellant

and

THE REVENUE COMMISSIONERS

Respondent

Determination

Introduction

1. This matter comes before the Tax Appeal Commission (hereinafter "the Commission") as an appeal against the refusal of the Revenue Commissioners (hereinafter "the Respondent") of a claim for the repayment of tax pursuant to section 865 of the Taxes Consolidation Act, 1997 (hereinafter the "TCA1997") made by the Appellant in respect of the tax year 2017.
2. The amount of tax at issue is €1,949.
3. The oral hearing of this appeal took place on 26 June 2023.

Background

4. Mr ██████████ (hereinafter the "Appellant") is a taxpayer and on 7 October 2022 he submitted a paper based Form 11 tax return for 2017 (hereinafter the "Return") with the Respondent. The Return indicated that the Appellant had overpaid tax for 2017 in the amount of €1,949.

5. By letter dated 27 February 2023 the Respondent disallowed repayment of the overpaid tax on the basis that a claim for repayment of tax had not been made within 4 years of the end of the relevant tax year to which the claim related pursuant to section 865 of the TCA1997.
6. The Appellant has appealed the disallowance of the repayment of tax by the Respondent for the tax years 2017 by way of a Notice of Appeal received by the Commission on 28 February 2023.

Legislation and Guidelines

7. The legislation relevant to the within appeal is as follows:

Section 865 of the TCA1997:

“(2)Subject to the provisions of this section, where a person has, in respect of a chargeable period, paid, whether directly or by deduction, an amount of tax which is not due from that person or which, but for an error or mistake in a return or statement made by the person for the purposes of an assessment to tax, would not have been due from the person, the person shall be entitled to repayment of the tax so paid.

...

(3)A repayment of tax shall not be due under subsection (2) unless a valid claim has been made to the Revenue Commissioners for that purpose.

(3A)(a)Subject to paragraph (b), subsection (3) shall not prevent the Revenue Commissioners from making, to a person other than a chargeable person (within the meaning of Part 41A), a repayment in respect of tax deducted, in accordance with Chapter 4 of Part 42 and the regulations made thereunder, from that person’s emoluments for a year of assessment where, on the basis of the information available to them, they are satisfied that the tax so deducted, and in respect of which the person is entitled to a credit, exceeds the person’s liability for that year.

(b)A repayment referred to in paragraph (a) shall not be made at a time at which a claim to the repayment would not be allowed under subsection (4).

(4)Subject to subsection (5), a claim for repayment of tax under the Acts for any chargeable period shall not be allowed unless it is made—

(a) in the case of claims made on or before 31 December 2004, under any provision of the Acts other than subsection (2), in relation to any chargeable period ending on or before 31 December 2002, within 10 years,

(b) in the case of claims made on or after 1 January 2005 in relation to any chargeable period referred to in paragraph (a), within 4 years, and

(c) in the case of claims made—

(i) under subsection (2) and not under any other provision of the Acts, or

(ii) in relation to any chargeable period beginning on or after 1 January 2003,

within 4 years, after the end of the chargeable period to which the claim relates.

...

(7) Where any person is aggrieved by a decision of the Revenue Commissioners on a claim to repayment by that person, in so far as that decision is made by reference to any provision of this section, the person may appeal the decision to the Appeal Commissioners, in accordance with section 949I, within the period of 30 days after the date of the notice of that decision."

Submissions

Appellant's Submissions

8. The Appellant submitted the following in support of the within appeal in his Notice of Appeal:

"I wish to appeal the decision made against me on the following grounds. My marriage broke down in [REDACTED] and my X wife had been looking after all my tax returns up until then. I fell on difficult times and had major issues going on in my life. I found myself out of the family home and went from a rented house to where I am now a cabin in [REDACTED]. The past few years have been very difficult for me between the break up of my marriage losing a family members and my work wasn't very secure and then Covid hit 2020. My head was all over the place and struggled through life for a while. I'm slowly getting my life back and sorting things out. I trust you will understand why I am appealing this decision."

9. The Appellant submitted the following in support of the within appeal in his Statement of Case:

“I over paid 1900.00Euro in tax back in 2017, but it was only brought to my attention this year from Revenue. I asked could I claim it back and they said no as it had gone past the four years period for reclaiming taxes. In [REDACTED] I went through a breakup with my X wife and up till then she looked after my tax affairs. I fell into a bad place losing the family home and very difficult times lay ahead and then Covid hit and my occupation suffered badly. I have since started to get back on my feet and I am sorting out my life again.

I trust you will make a judgement in my favour.

Regards.”

10. At the oral hearing of this appeal, the Appellant stated that he had separated from his wife in [REDACTED]. Prior to his separation his wife had completed all of his tax returns and had retained all of the necessary information and paperwork in relation to the 2017 tax return, refusing to give the Appellant that information and paperwork. The Appellant stated that he had unsuccessfully tried to contact his former wife in the intervening period to get the information and paperwork to allow him to submit his tax return to the Respondent. He stated that his life had been in turmoil and that he had been prosecuted and fined for failing to submit his tax return to the Respondent. Once the Appellant recovered the necessary information and paperwork from his former wife he submitted the tax return for 2017 to the Respondent on 7 October 2022.

Respondent's Submissions

11. The Respondent submitted that the provisions of section 865 of the TCA1997 mean that the repayment of tax under the Acts for any chargeable period shall not be allowed unless a valid claim to repayment has been made within 4 years after the end of the chargeable period to which the claim relates.
12. The Respondent submitted that as the Appellant's tax return for 2017 was submitted on 7 October 2022, a valid claim to repayment of tax for the year 2017 had not been made within 4 years after the end of the chargeable period to which the claim related.

Material Facts

13. The following material fact is not at issue in the within appeal and the Commissioner accepts same:

- (i) The Appellant submitted a claim for repayment of tax for the tax year 2017 to the Respondent on 7 October 2022.

Analysis

14. As with all appeals before the Commission the burden of proof lies with the Appellant. As confirmed in *Menolly Homes v Appeal Commissioners* [2010] IEHC 49, the burden of proof is, as in all taxation appeals, on the taxpayer. As confirmed in that case by Charleton J at paragraph 22:-

“This is not a plenary civil hearing. It is an enquiry by the Appeal Commissioner as to whether the taxpayer has shown that the tax is not payable.”

15. The Commissioner has considered the submissions made and documentation submitted on behalf of both Parties in the within appeal.

16. Section 865(2) of the TCA1997 provides that a person is entitled to a repayment of tax paid where an amount of tax paid is not due from that person. Section 865(3) of the TCA1997 provides that a repayment of tax is not due unless a valid claim has been made to the Respondent.

17. Section 865(1)(b)(i) of the TCA1997 provides that where a person furnishes a return which is required to be delivered by the person for a chargeable period, such a return shall be treated as a valid claim in relation to a repayment of tax where all the information which the Respondent may reasonably require to enable them determine if and to what extent a repayment of tax is due is contained in the return furnished by the person.

18. Section 865(1)(b)(ii) of the TCA1997 provides that where all the information which the Respondent may reasonably require to enable them to determine if and to what extent a repayment of tax is due is not contained in the return furnished by the person, a claim for repayment of tax shall be treated as a valid claim when that information has been furnished by the person.

19. In relation to a limitation period for a repayment of tax section 865(4) of the TCA1997 provides that “...a claim for repayment of tax under the Acts for any chargeable period shall not be allowed unless it is made- within 4 years, after the end of the chargeable period to which the claim relates.” [emphasis added].

20. A repayment of tax was sought by the Appellant on the basis that amount of tax paid for 2017 was not due. The entitlement to a repayment of tax arises under section 865(2) of the TCA1997. Section 865(3) of the TCA1997 means the repayment of tax sought under section 865(2) of the TCA1997 is not due unless a valid claim has been made to the

Respondent. Therefore, for the repayment of tax in the amounts of €1,949 for the tax year 2017 to be due, the Respondent must have received a valid claim.

21. The Respondent had all the information which they required to enable them determine if and to what extent a repayment of tax was due on 7 October 2022 following the delivery of the relevant claim to repayment by the Appellant by way of the submission of the Form 11 return. This was in excess of 4 years from the end of the tax year 2017.
22. Having established that there is a valid claim, the provisions of section 865(4) of the TCA1997 must be applied. As the claim for repayment of tax was made outside the 4 year period specified in section 865(4) of the TCA1997, no valid claim for repayment of tax had been submitted by the Appellant and the claim for repayment in the amount of €1,949 for the tax year 2017 was disallowed by the Respondent.
23. The use of the word “*shall*” as set out in section 865(4) of the TCA1997, indicates an absence of discretion in the application of this provision. The wording of the provision does not provide for extenuating circumstances in which the 4 year rule might be mitigated.
24. The Commissioner has no authority or discretion to direct that repayment be made or credits allocated to the Appellant where the claim for repayment falls outside the 4 year period specified in section 865(4) of the TCA1997.
25. Previous determinations of the Tax Appeals Commission have addressed the matter of repayment in the context of the 4 year statutory limitation period. These determinations, may be found on the Commission website.¹
26. As a result of the above, the Commissioner finds that the burden of proof has not been discharged to satisfy the Commissioner that the refund was payable by the Respondent.

Determination

27. For the reasons set out above, the Commissioner determines that the within appeal has failed and that it has not been shown that the relevant refund was payable.
28. It is understandable the Appellant will be disappointed with the outcome of this appeal. This is an unfortunate situation and the Commissioner has every sympathy with the Appellant’s position. However, the Commissioner has no discretion in these cases due to the application of the 4 year rule, set out above.

¹ www.taxappeals.ie

29. This Appeal is determined in accordance with Part 40A of the Taxes Consolidation Act 1997 and in particular, section 949AL thereof. This determination contains full findings of fact and reasons for the determination. Any party dissatisfied with the determination has a right of appeal on a point of law only to the High Court within 42 days of receipt in accordance with the provisions set out in the TCA1997.



Clare O'Driscoll
Appeal Commissioner
28 June 2023