



25TACD2023

Between:

████████████████████

**Appellant**

and

**THE REVENUE COMMISSIONERS**

**Respondent**

---

**Determination**

---

**Introduction**

1. This matter comes before the Tax Appeal Commission (hereinafter "the Commission") as an appeal against the refusal of the Revenue Commissioners (hereinafter "the Respondent") of a claim for the repayment of tax pursuant to section 865 of the Taxes Consolidation Act, 1997 (hereinafter the "TCA1997") made by the Appellant in respect of the tax years 2016 and 2017.
2. The amount of tax at issue is €2,981.46.

**Background**

3. Mr ██████████ (hereinafter the "Appellant") is a retired employee of, and is in receipt of a pension from, the Department of Education. On 15 March 2022 the Appellant filed Form 11 returns with the Respondent for the tax years 2016 and 2017. The returns indicated that the Appellant had overpaid tax in the amount of €1,540.44 for 2016 and €1,441.02 for 2017.

4. By letters dated 2 March 2022 the Respondent disallowed repayment of the overpaid tax on the basis that claims for repayment of tax had not been made within 4 years of the end of the relevant tax years to which the claims related pursuant to section 865 of the TCA1997.
5. The Appellant has appealed the disallowance of the repayment of tax by the Respondent for the tax years 2016 and 2017 by way of a Notice of Appeal date 14 April 2022 which was submitted to the Commission.
6. On 11 October 2022 the Commission wrote to the Parties indicating its intention to determine the within appeal pursuant to section 949U of the TCA1997 and allowed the Parties 21 days to indicate their disagreement with same. Neither Party has objected to this course of action. As a result the within appeal has been determined pursuant to section 949U of the TCA1997.

### **Legislation and Guidelines**

7. The legislation relevant to the within appeal is as follows:

#### Section 865 of the TCA1997:

*“(2)Subject to the provisions of this section, where a person has, in respect of a chargeable period, paid, whether directly or by deduction, an amount of tax which is not due from that person or which, but for an error or mistake in a return or statement made by the person for the purposes of an assessment to tax, would not have been due from the person, the person shall be entitled to repayment of the tax so paid.*

...

*(3)A repayment of tax shall not be due under subsection (2) unless a valid claim has been made to the Revenue Commissioners for that purpose.*

*(3A)(a)Subject to paragraph (b), subsection (3) shall not prevent the Revenue Commissioners from making, to a person other than a chargeable person (within the meaning of Part 41A), a repayment in respect of tax deducted, in accordance with Chapter 4 of Part 42 and the regulations made thereunder, from that person’s emoluments for a year of assessment where, on the basis of the information available to them, they are satisfied that the tax so deducted, and in respect of which the person is entitled to a credit, exceeds the person’s liability for that year.*

*(b) A repayment referred to in paragraph (a) shall not be made at a time at which a claim to the repayment would not be allowed under subsection (4).*

*(4) Subject to subsection (5), a claim for repayment of tax under the Acts for any chargeable period shall not be allowed unless it is made—*

*(a) in the case of claims made on or before 31 December 2004, under any provision of the Acts other than subsection (2), in relation to any chargeable period ending on or before 31 December 2002, within 10 years,*

*(b) in the case of claims made on or after 1 January 2005 in relation to any chargeable period referred to in paragraph (a), within 4 years, and*

*(c) in the case of claims made—*

*(i) under subsection (2) and not under any other provision of the Acts,  
or*

*(ii) in relation to any chargeable period beginning on or after 1 January 2003,*

*within 4 years, after the end of the chargeable period to which the claim relates.*

...

*(7) Where any person is aggrieved by a decision of the Revenue Commissioners on a claim to repayment by that person, in so far as that decision is made by reference to any provision of this section, the person may appeal the decision to the Appeal Commissioners, in accordance with section 949I, within the period of 30 days after the date of the notice of that decision."*

## **Submissions**

### *Appellant's Submissions*

8. The Appellant submitted the following in support of the within appeal in his Notice of Appeal:

*"I am retired for almost ■ years. I am now ■ years old.*

*I assumed that the Dept. of Education would deduct the correct amount of income tax each year.*

*I did not submit Form 11 for a number of years, assuming this was not necessary as the Dept. of Education had all the relevant information.*

*I believe I am entitled to a refund of income tax for 2016 and 2017.*

*See Copies of Letters from Revenue re Late Claims attached*

*Thanking You*

██████████.”

9. The Appellant submitted the following in support of the within appeal in his Statement of Case:

*“In 2016 and 2017 the Dept of Education deducted the incorrect amount of income tax from my pension in spite of having all the relevant information about my income.*

*In 2016 the over payment of income tax amounted to €1540.44 and in 2017 the overpayment of income tax was €1441.00.*

*I believe it is unfair and may I say unjust not to refund the tax deducted.*

*There was no incorrect information provided by me.*

*The Dept knew that my sole income was my pension plus a small sum of €1200 per annum.*

*I should not be penalised for mistakes made by the Dept. Of Education.*

*Yours Sincerely*

██████████.”

#### *Respondent's Submissions*

10. The Respondent submitted that the provisions of section 865 of the TCA1997 mean that a valid claim for the repayment of tax under the Acts for any chargeable period shall not be allowed unless it is made within 4 years after the end of the chargeable period to which the claim relates.

#### **Material Facts**

11. The following material fact is not at issue in the within appeal and the Commissioner accepts same:

- (i) The Appellant submitted claims for repayment of tax for the tax years 2016 and 2017 to the Respondent on 15 March 2022.

### **Analysis**

12. As with all appeals before the Commission the burden of proof lies with the Appellant. As confirmed in *Menolly Homes v Appeal Commissioners* [2010] IEHC 49, the burden of proof is, as in all taxation appeals, on the taxpayer. As confirmed in that case by Charleton J at paragraph 22:-

*“This is not a plenary civil hearing. It is an enquiry by the Appeal Commissioner as to whether the taxpayer has shown that the tax is not payable.”*

13. The Commissioner has considered the submissions made and documentation submitted on behalf of both Parties in the within appeal.

14. Section 865(2) of the TCA1997 provides that a person is entitled to a repayment of tax paid where an amount of tax paid is not due from that person. Section 865(3) of the TCA 1997 provides that a repayment of tax is not due unless a valid claim has been made to the Respondent.

15. Section 865(1)(b)(i) of the TCA1997 provides that where a person furnishes a return which is required to be delivered by the person for a chargeable period, such a return shall be treated as a valid claim in relation to a repayment of tax where all the information which the Respondent may reasonably require to enable them determine if and to what extent a repayment of tax is due is contained in the return furnished by the person.

16. Section 865(1)(b)(ii) of the TCA1997 provides that where all the information which the Respondent may reasonably require to enable them to determine if and to what extent a repayment of tax is due is not contained in the return furnished by the person, a claim for repayment of tax shall be treated as a valid claim when that information has been furnished by the person.

17. In relation to a limitation period for a repayment of tax section 865(4) of the TCA1997 provides that “...*a claim for repayment of tax under the Acts for any chargeable period shall not be allowed unless it is made- ..... within 4 years, after the end of the chargeable period to which the claim relates.*” [emphasis added].

18. A repayment of tax was sought by the Appellant on the basis that amounts of tax paid for 2016 and 2017 were not due. The entitlement to a repayment of tax arises under section 865(2) of the TCA1997. Section 865(3) of the TCA1997 means the repayment of tax sought under section 865(2) of the TCA1997 is not due unless a valid claim has been

made to the Respondent. Therefore, for the repayment of tax in the amounts of €1,540.44 for the tax year 2016 and €1,441.02 for the tax year 2017 to be due, the Respondent must have received a valid claim.

19. The Respondent had all the information which they required to enable them determine if and to what extent a repayment of tax was due on 15 March 2022 following the delivery of the relevant claim to repayment by the Appellant by way of the submission of Form 11 returns. This was in excess of 5 years from the end of the tax year 2016 and was in excess of 4 years from the end of the tax year 2017.
20. Having established that there is a valid claim, the provisions of section 865(4) of the TCA1997 must be applied. As the claims for repayment of tax were made outside the 4 year period specified in section 865(4) of the TCA1997, no valid claim for repayment of tax had been submitted by the Appellant and the claims for repayment in the amount of €1,540.44 for the tax year 2016 and €1,441.02 for the tax year 2017 were disallowed by the Respondent.
21. The use of the word "*shall*" as set out in section 865(4) of the TCA1997, indicates an absence of discretion in the application of this provision. The wording of the provision does not provide for extenuating circumstances in which the 4 year rule might be mitigated.
22. The Commissioner has no authority or discretion to direct that repayment be made or credits allocated to the Appellant where the claim for repayment falls outside the 4 year period specified in section 865(4) of the TCA1997.
23. Previous determinations of the Tax Appeals Commission have addressed the matter of repayment in the context of the 4 year statutory limitation period. These determinations, may be found on the Commission website.<sup>1</sup>
24. As a result of the above, the Commissioner finds that the burden of proof has not been discharged to satisfy the Commissioner that the refund was payable by the Respondent.

### **Determination**

25. For the reasons set out above, the Commissioner determines that the within appeal has failed and that it has not been shown that the relevant refund was payable.
26. It is understandable the Appellant will be disappointed with the outcome of this appeal. This is an unfortunate situation and the Commissioner has every sympathy with the

---

<sup>1</sup> [www.taxappeals.ie](http://www.taxappeals.ie)

Appellant's position. However, the Commissioner has no discretion in these cases due to the application of the 4 year rule, set out above.

27. This Appeal is determined in accordance with Part 40A of the Taxes Consolidation Act 1997 (hereinafter the "TCA1997") and in particular, section 949 thereof. This determination contains full findings of fact and reasons for the determination. Any party dissatisfied with the determination has a right of appeal on a point of law only within 21 days of receipt in accordance with the provisions set out in the TCA1997.



Clare O'Driscoll  
Appeal Commissioner  
13 December 2022